

Motion to interpret PIDX XML Transaction Standards

Schlumberger and OFS Portal move, and Baker Hughes seconds a motion for the PIDX Standards and Guidelines Committee (S&G) to issue an interpretation of the PIDX XML Transaction Standards (the standards).

Reasons for motion

- Different organizations involved in implementing the standards interpret parts of the standards and standards terminology differently
- These different interpretations add significant costs and time to implementing the standards and hinder adoption of the standards
- The most frequently occurring difference in interpreting the standards includes the determination, identification, and roles of trading partners and intermediaries involved in the exchange of messages compliant with the PIDX XML Transaction Standards

Motion

- That the standards consist of the RosettaNet Implementation Framework, version 2.0 (RNIF2) as modified by the current PIDX XML Standards Master, the PIDX Implementation Guideline, and official interpretations of the standards issued by S&G
- That the intent of the standards is to describe the exchange of standard-compliant electronic messages (messages) between organizations directly engaged in a business transaction
- That the terms, “sender,” “message sender,” and other similar terms refer to a buyer, seller, bank, government agency, or similar organization creating service content to be included in a message
- That the terms, “receiver,” “recipient,” “message receiver,” “final recipient,” and other similar terms refer to a buyer, seller, bank, government agency, or similar organization to which the message is intended to be delivered by the message sender
- That the term, “trading partner” refers to a message sender or receiver as defined in this motion
- That the term, “intermediary,” refers to an organization, other than a trading partner, providing web hosting or other services to exchange service content or report on message status, or facilitating the message exchange by transporting, processing, or creating a message, message headers, or service content, at the direction of a trading partner
- That the facilitation of the message exchange by intermediaries does not change the status of the trading partners or intermediaries involved in the message exchange
- That the identification of the sender and receiver in the message’s delivery and service headers will be the DUNS of the trading partners involved in the message exchange, and not the DUNS of intermediaries facilitating the exchange

Voting Results
(Vote held by letter ballot via email)

Suresh Rajamani	-	Approve
Steven Robinson	-	No Response
Pete Springer	-	Approve
John Stukes	-	Approve
Daryl Fullerton	-	No Response
Jeroen Ravelli	-	Abstain
Scott Fleck	-	Approve
Paul Mayer	-	No Response

8 voting members. 4 approved. 0 disapproved. 1 abstained. 3 did not respond

Per PIDX Bylaws, since the three outstanding ballots cannot change the results, balloting was closed.
The Motion passed with 50% (80% of those voting approve, 20% abstain, 0% disapprove)